

VISIT...

LANZAROTE
Caliente.COM

The Department of Vermont Health Access Medical Policy

Subject: Neuroprosthetics/Neuroorthotics (NP/NO)

Last Review: December 29, 2015

Revision 3: January 21, 2015

Revision 2: December 11, 2013

Revision 1: May 3, 2013

Original Effective: February 15, 2012

Description of Service or Procedure

Per VT Medicaid Rule 7508, “A prosthetic device is a replacement, corrective or supportive device to: 1) artificially replace a missing portion of the body; 2) prevent or correct deformity or malfunction; or 3) support a weak or deformed portion of the body. Prosthetics include orthotics.” Orthotics are generally considered to fit items 2 and 3.

Neuroprosthetics/orthotics support or correct the body part, fully or partially, by the use of electrical stimulation. There may be a mechanical component as well. Some neuroprosthetics/orthotics provide electrical stimulation through surface electrodes; others may include implantable or injectable electrodes. This guideline is specifically for devices with surface electrodes.

Disclaimer

Coverage is limited to that outlined in Medicaid Rule that pertains to the beneficiary’s aid category. Prior Authorization (PA) is only valid if the beneficiary is eligible for the applicable item or service on the date of service.

Medicaid Rule

[7102.2](#) Prior Authorization Determination

[7103](#) Medical Necessity

[7508-7508.7](#) Prosthetic devices

Medicaid Rules can be found at <http://humanservices.vermont.gov/on-line-rules>



Coverage Position

NP/NO may be covered for beneficiaries:

- When the device is prescribed by a licensed medical provider enrolled in the Vermont Medicaid program, operating within their scope of practice in accordance with Vermont State Practice Act, who is knowledgeable in the use of NP/NO, and who provides medical care to the beneficiary AND
- When the clinical guidelines below are met.

Coverage Guidelines

NP/NO may be covered for a beneficiary who:

- Has a neurologically-based medical condition that results in the long term medical need for a prosthetic/orthotic to prevent or correct malfunction or support a weak or deformed portion of the body AND
- Who requires the use of a prosthetic/orthotic to perform medically necessary activities of daily living AND
- Has undergone extensive trials of all other, less expensive, medically appropriate forms of prosthetic/orthotic as part of a physical or occupational therapy plan of care, and where these other prosthetics/orthotics have failed to meet the beneficiary's functional medical needs AND
- Where the beneficiary will continue to be treated by the physical or occupational therapist after obtaining the prosthetic/orthotic to enable the beneficiary to utilize the device properly and functionally.

Clinical guidelines for repeat service or procedure

- When the device no longer meets the medical needs of the beneficiary, OR
- When the device is no longer functional through normal wear and tear (expected to be at least 5 years), OR
 - When the cost of repair is more than 50% of the cost of replacement.
 - Electrodes may be replaced as needed to allow the proper function of the NP/NO device.

Type of service or procedure covered

NP/NO devices that include electrical stimulation via surface electrodes.

Type of service or procedure not covered (this list may not be all inclusive)

- NP/NO via implanted or injected electrodes, which are considered to be investigational at this time.
- NP/NO for beneficiaries who are unable to use the NP/NO device to perform medically necessary activities of daily living such as walking functional distances.
- NP/NO for use primarily as an exercise system rather than to perform functional medically necessary activities of daily living.
- NP/NO for use primarily as a cosmetic device.
- NP/NO for individuals who are not able to maintain the device for safe, functional, long term use. Per VT Medicaid Rule 7508.3, the device "must be appropriate for the beneficiary's age, gross and fine motor skills, developmental status, mental functioning, and physical condition."
- Duplicate items are not covered.

References

- Borton, D., Micera, S., Millan, J., & Courtine, G. (2013). Personalized neuroprosthetics. *Science Translational Medicine*, 5(210). Retrieved December 9, 2013 from: <http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/190361/files/Borton%20Science%20Translational%20Medicine%202013.pdf>
- Burridge, J., Haugland, M., Larson, B., Pickering, R.M., Svaneborg, N, Iversen, H.K. et al. (2007). Phase II trial to evaluate the actigait implanted drop-foot stimulator in established hemiplegia. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 39. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from: http://vbn.aau.dk/ws/files/56257734/JRehabMed2007_39_212_218.pdf
- Graham, J. (2014). Technology for rehabilitating the upper limb after stroke: The hand. *BJNN/ Stroke Association Supplement*. Retrieved December 21, 2015 from: <http://habilis.pl/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Upper-limb-technology-for-stroke.pdf>.
- Hayes Inc. Executive Summary. *Functional Electrical Stimulation for Rehabilitation Following Spinal Cord Injury*. Landsdale, PA: Hayes, Inc; December 29, 2011.
- Hayes, Inc. Executive Summary. *Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) for Upper Extremity Rehabilitation Post Stroke*. Landsdale, PA: Hayes Inc; July 8, 2009.
- Hayes, Inc. Executive Summary. *Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation for Rehabilitation in Patients with Cerebral Palsy*. Landsdale, PA: Hayes Inc; December 27, 2010.
- Hayes, Inc. Health Technology Brief. *Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES) for Foot Drop in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis (MS)*. Landsdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; July 16, 2015.
- Kesar, T., Perumal, R., Reisman, D., Jancosko, A, Rudolph, J., Higginson, J. et al. (2009). Functional electrical stimulation of ankle plantarflexor and dorsiflexor muscles: Effects on poststroke gait. *Stroke*, 40. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from: <http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/40/12/3821.full.pdf+html>
- O'Dell, M., Dunning, K., Kluding, P., Wu, S.S., Feld, J., Ginosian, J., & McBride, K. (2014). Response and prediction of improvement in gait speed from functional electrical stimulation in persons with poststroke drop foot. *American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*.6: 587-601. Retrieved December 21, 2015 from: [http://www.pmrjournal.org/article/S1934-1482\(14\)00013-6/pdf](http://www.pmrjournal.org/article/S1934-1482(14)00013-6/pdf).
- Quandt, F., Hummel, F.C. (2014). The influence of functional electrical stimulation on hand motor recovery in stroke patients: A review. *Experimental and Translational Stroke Medicine* 2014k 6(9). Retrieved December 21, 2015 from: http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/924/art%253A10.1186%252F2040-7378-6-9.pdf?originUrl=http%3A%2F%2Fetsmjournal.biomedcentral.com%2Farticle%2F10.1186%2F2040-7378-6-9&token2=exp=1450706775~acl=%2Fstatic%2Fpdf%2F924%2Fart%25253A10.1186%25252F2040-7378-6-9.pdf*~hmac=0dc45f9efe45b05185abc1c03b2d0704df61744c8aa9a426045d227ae6c4c3fb.
- Ramsay, J.W., Weessel, M.A., Buchanan, T.S., & Higginson, J.S. (2014). Poststroke muscle architectural parameters of the tibialis anterior and the potential implications for rehabilitation of foot drop. *Hindawi*

Publishing Corporation, Stroke Research and Treatment, 2014. Retrieved December 19, 2014, from: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/srt/2014/948475/abs/>

Rincon-Gonzalez, L., Warren, J., Meller, D., & Tillery, S. (2011). Haptic interaction of touch and proprioception: Implications for neuroprosthetics. *IEEE Transactions of Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 19*(5). Retrieved December 9, 2013 from: <http://smorg.asu.edu/manuscripts/Rincon%20et%20al,%20IEEE%20TNSRE%202011.pdf>

Senelick, R. (2011). Technological advances in stroke rehabilitation. *US Neurology, 6*(2). Retrieved December 9, 2013 from: http://www.richardsenelick.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/Senelick_reprint_HR.pdf

VonSwigchem, R., Vloothuis, J., denBoer, J., Weedesteyn, V., & Geuts A. (2010). Is transcutaneous peroneal stimulation beneficial to patients with chronic stroke using and ankle-foot orthosis? A within-subjects study of patients' satisfaction, walking speed and physical activity level. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 42*. Retrieved December 9, 2013 from: <http://www.medicaljournals.se/jrm/content/?doi=10.2340/16501977-0489&html=1>

Van der Linden, M.L., Hooper, J.E., Cowan, P., Weller, B.B., & Mercer, T.H. (2014). Habitual functional electrical stimulation therapy improves kinematics and walking performance, but not functional outcomes, of people with multiple sclerosis present with foot drop. *PLOS One, 9*(8). Retrieved December 19, 2014, from: <http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0103368>

This document has been classified as public information.